

**The text below is an open letter on the position of scientists and researchers on the EU's proposed Child Sexual Abuse Regulation.**

**Signatures on 3 July @ 10pm**

**Signatories: 309**

**Countries: 32**

For press inquiries please contact:

Carmela Troncoso - [carmela.troncoso@epfl.ch](mailto:carmela.troncoso@epfl.ch) (Spain, Switzerland)

Bart Preneel - [bart.preneel@esat.kuleuven.be](mailto:bart.preneel@esat.kuleuven.be) (Belgium)

Michael Veale - [m.veale@ucl.ac.uk](mailto:m.veale@ucl.ac.uk) (UK)

Eyal Ronen - [eyal.ronen@cs.tau.ac.il](mailto:eyal.ronen@cs.tau.ac.il) (Israel)

TJ McIntyre - [tjmcintyre@ucd.ie](mailto:tjmcintyre@ucd.ie) (Ireland)

Jaap-Henk Hoepman - [jhh@cs.ru.nl](mailto:jhh@cs.ru.nl) (The Netherlands)

Aurelien Francillon - [aurelien.francillon@eurecom.fr](mailto:aurelien.francillon@eurecom.fr) (France)

Anja Lehmann - [anja.lehmann@hpi.de](mailto:anja.lehmann@hpi.de) (Germany)

René Mayrhofer - [rm@ins.jku.at](mailto:rm@ins.jku.at) (Austria)

Diego Aranha - [dfaranja@cs.au.dk](mailto:dfaranja@cs.au.dk) (Denmark)

Cihangir Tezcan - [cihangir@metu.edu.tr](mailto:cihangir@metu.edu.tr) (Turkey)

Mauro Conti - [mauro.conti@unipd.it](mailto:mauro.conti@unipd.it) (Italy)

Stefan Dziembowski - [stefan.dziembowski@gmail.com](mailto:stefan.dziembowski@gmail.com) (Poland)

---

We continue signature collection. If you are a scientist or researcher and would like to add your name please fill this form: <https://tinyurl.com/ResearchersCSA> (PhD or demonstrated research track record required)

Dear Members of the European Parliament,  
Dear Member States of the Council of the European Union,

**Joint statement of scientists and researchers on EU's proposed Child Sexual Abuse Regulation: 4 July 2023**

The signatories of this statement are scientists and researchers from across the globe.

First and foremost, we acknowledge that child sexual abuse and exploitation is a very serious crime which can cause lifelong harm to survivors. It is the responsibility of government authorities, with the support of companies and communities, to undertake effective interventions which prevent this crime and react to it quickly when it does happen.

The European Commission has proposed a law with the stated aim of stopping the spread of child sexual abuse material online and of grooming of children online. To do so, the law allows authorities to compel providers of any apps or other online services to scan the messages, pictures, emails, voice mails and other activities of their users. In the case of end-to-end encrypted apps, the claim is that this scanning can be done on users' devices – so-called 'Client-Side Scanning' (CSS).

The effectiveness of the law (at its stated aims) relies on the existence of effective scanning technologies. Unfortunately, the scanning technologies that currently exist and that are on the horizon are deeply flawed. These flaws, which we describe in detail below, means that scanning is doomed to be ineffective. Moreover, integrating scanning at large scale on apps running in user devices, and particularly in a global context, creates side-effects that can be extremely harmful for everyone online, and which could make the Internet and the digital society less safe for everybody.

As the problems we describe speak to measures that are at the core of the EU's legislative proposal, it is our professional recommendation as scientists that such a proposal be not taken forward. It is not feasible or tenable to require private companies to use technologies in ways that we already know cannot be done safely – or even at all. Given the horrific nature of child sexual abuse, it is understandable, and indeed tempting, to hope that there is a technological intervention that can eradicate it. Yet, looking at the issue holistically, we cannot escape the conclusion that the current proposal is not such an intervention.

Passing this legislation undermines the thoughtful and incisive work that European researchers have provided in cybersecurity and privacy, including contributions to the development of global encryption standards. Such undermining will weaken the environment for security and privacy work in Europe, lowering our ability to build a secure digital society.

The proposed regulation would also set a global precedent for filtering the Internet, controlling who can access it, and taking away some of the few tools available for people to protect their right to a private life in the digital space. This will have a chilling effect on society and is likely to negatively affect democracies across the globe.

***We therefore strongly warn against pursuing these or similar measures as their success is not possible given current and foreseeable technology, while their potential for harm is substantial.***

## 1. Detection technologies are deeply flawed and vulnerable to attacks

Tools used for scanning for **known Child Sexual Abuse Material (CSAM)** must not contain CSAM material itself as this would bring major risks. Thus, the only scalable technology to address this problem is by transforming the known content with a so-called perceptual hash function and by using a list of the resulting hash values to compare to potential CSAM material. A perceptual hash function needs to achieve two goals: (i) it should be easy to compute yet hard to invert and (ii) small changes to an image should result in small changes to the hash output, which means that even after image manipulation the known image can still be detected. While this sounds easy, after more than two decades of research there has been no substantial progress in designing functions that meet these properties.

Research has shown that for all known perceptual hash functions, it is virtually always possible to make small changes to an image that result in a large change of the hash value which allows evasion of detection (false negative). Moreover, it is also possible to create a legitimate picture that will be falsely detected as illegal material as it has the same hash as a picture that is in the database (false positive). This can be achieved even without knowing the hash database. Such an attack could be used to frame innocent users and to flood Law Enforcement Agencies with false positives – diverting resources away from real investigations into child sexual abuse.

These attacks are not theoretical: for concrete designs such as Photo DNA, Facebook's PDQ hash function and Apple's NeuralHash function, efficient attacks have been described in the literature. The only way to avoid such attacks for the time being is by keeping the description of the perceptual hash function secret. This "security by obscurity" not only goes against basic security engineering principles but, in practice, is only feasible if the perceptual hash function is known only to the service provider. In the case of end-to-end encryption, the hashing operation needs to take place on the client device. Thus, keeping the design secret is an illusion.

As scientists, we do not expect that it will be feasible in the next 10-20 years to develop a scalable solution that can run on users' devices without leaking illegal information and that can detect known content (or content derived from or related to known content) in a reliable way, that is, with an acceptable number of false positives and negatives.

The proposal of the European Commission goes beyond the detection of known content. It also requires that **newly generated images or videos** with CSAM need to be detected based on "artificial intelligence" tools. In addition, the proposal requires that **grooming in communication services** including both text and audio should be detected using similar techniques. While some commercial players claim that they have made progress, the designs remain secret and no open and objective evaluation has taken place that demonstrates their effectiveness. Moreover, the state of the art in machine learning suggests that this is way beyond what is feasible today. In fact, any time that client-side designs have been evaluated (as in the case of prototypes funded by the UK Home office) they have been found to be neither effective nor compliant with privacy and human-rights law.

AI tools can be trained to identify certain patterns with high levels of precision. However, they routinely make errors, including mistakes that to a human seem very basic. That is because AI systems lack context and common sense. There are some tasks to which AI systems are

well-suited, but searching for a very nuanced, sensitive crime — which is what grooming behaviour is — is not one of these tasks.

At the scale at which private communications are exchanged online, even scanning the messages exchanged in the EU on just one app provider would mean generating millions of errors every day. That means that when scanning billions of images, videos, texts and audio messages per day, the number of false positives will be in the hundreds of millions. It further seems likely that many of these false positives will themselves be deeply private, likely intimate, and entirely legal imagery sent between consenting adults.

This cannot be improved through innovation: ‘false positives’ (content that is wrongly flagged as being unlawful material) are a statistical certainty when it comes to AI. False positives are also an inevitability when it comes to the use of detection technologies -- even for known CSAM material. The only way to reduce this to an acceptable margin of error would be to only scan in narrow and *genuinely* targeted circumstances where there is prior suspicion, as well as sufficient human resources to deal with the false positives -- otherwise cost may be prohibitive given the large number of people who will be needed to review millions of texts and images. This is not what is envisioned by the European Commission’s proposal.

The reporting system put forward in the draft CSAM proposal is likely to encourage novel attacks on detection technologies. This is because right now, providers have the discretion to sift out obvious false alerts. Under the new system, however, they would be required to report even content that seems unlikely to be CSAM. Besides the attacks we mention, many more are starting to appear in specialized academic venues, and we expect many more are being prepared by those motivated to share illicit material.

Finally, it has been claimed that detecting CSAM should be feasible as scanning for computer viruses is a widely deployed technology. While superficially both seem similar, there are essential differences. First, when a computer virus is detected, the user is warned and the virus can be removed. Second, a virus can be recognized based on a small unique substring, which is not the case for a picture or video: it would be very easy to modify or remove a unique substring with small changes that do not change the appearance; doing this for a virus would make the code inoperable. Finally, machine learning techniques can sometimes identify viral behaviour, but only when such behaviour can be precisely defined (e.g. code that copies itself) and thus detected. This is in opposition to defining CSAM for which clear boundaries cannot easily be established.

## **2. Technical Implications of weakening End-to-End Encryption**

End-to-end encryption is designed so that only the sender and recipient can view the content of a message or other communication. Encryption is the only tool we have to protect our data in the digital realm; all other tools have been proven to be compromised. The use of link encryption (from user to service provider and from service provider to user) with decryption in the middle as used in the mobile telephone system is not an acceptable solution in the current threat environment. It is obvious that end-to-end encryption makes it impossible to implement scanning for known or new content and detection of grooming at the service provider.

In order to remedy this, a set of techniques called “Client-Side Scanning” (CSS) has been suggested as a way to access encrypted communications without breaking the encryption. Such tools would reportedly work by scanning content on the user’s device before it has been encrypted or after it has been decrypted, then reporting whenever illicit material is found. One may equate this to adding video cameras in our homes to listen to every conversation and send reports when we talk about illicit topics.

The only deployment of CSS in the free world was by Apple in 2021, which they claimed was state-of-the-art technology. This effort was withdrawn after less than two weeks due to privacy concerns and the fact that the system had already been hijacked and manipulated.

When deployed on a person’s device, CSS acts like spyware, allowing adversaries to gain easy access to that device. Any law which would mandate CSS, or any other technology designed to access, analyse or share the content of communications will, without a doubt, undermine encryption, and make everyone’s communications less safe as a result. The laudable aim of protecting children does not change this technical reality.

Even if such a CSS system could be conceived, there is an extremely high risk that it will be abused. We expect that there will be substantial pressure on policymakers to extend the scope, first to detect terrorist recruitment, then other criminal activity, then dissident speech. For instance, it would be sufficient for less democratic governments to extend the database of hash values that typically correspond to known CSAM content (as explained above) with hash values of content critical of the regime. As the hash values give no information on the content itself, it would be impossible for outsiders to detect this abuse. The CSS infrastructure could then be used to report all users with this content immediately to these governments.

If such a mechanism would be implemented, it would need to be in part through security by obscurity as otherwise it would be easy for users to bypass the detection mechanisms, for example by emptying the database of hash values or bypassing some verifications. This means that transparency of the application will be harmed, which may be used by some actors as a veil to collect more personal user data.

### **3. Effectiveness**

We have serious reservations whether the technologies imposed by the regulation would be effective: perpetrators would be aware of such technologies and would move to new techniques, services and platforms to exchange CSAM information while evading detection.

The proposed regulation will harm the freedom of children to express themselves as their conversations could also be triggering alarms. National criminal law enforcement on-the-ground typically deals in a nuanced way with intimate messages between teenagers both around the age of consent. These technologies change the relationship between individuals and their devices, and it will be difficult to reintroduce such nuance. For other users, we have major concerns of the chilling effects created by the presence of these detection mechanisms.

Finally, the huge number of false positives that can be expected will require a substantial amount of resources while creating serious risks for all users to be identified incorrectly. These resources would be better spent on other approaches to protect children from sexual abuse. While most child protection work must be local, one way in which community legislation might help is by using existing powers (DMA/DSA) to require social network services to make it easier for users to complain about abuse, as it is user complaints rather than AI that in practice lead to the detection of new abuse material.

Signed,

#### **Australia**

Dr. Shaanan Cohney	University of Melbourne
Prof. Vanessa Teague	Australian National University & Thinking Cybersecurity Pty Ltd

#### **Austria**

Prof. Dr. Elena Andreeva	TU Wien
Univ.-Prof. Dr. Rainer Böhme	Universität Innsbruck
Prof. Maria Eichlseder	TU Graz
Prof. Daniel Gruss	TU Graz
Prof. Dr. Martina Lindorfer	TU Wien
Univ.-Prof. Dr. Matteo Maffei	TU Wien
Prof. Stefan Mangard	TU Graz
Univ.-Prof. Dr. René Mayrhofer	Johannes Kepler University Linz
Prof. Elisabeth Oswald	University of Klagenfurt
Univ.-Prof. Dr. Christian Rechberger	TU Graz
Dr. Michael Roland	Johannes Kepler University Linz
Univ.-Prof. Edgar Weippl	University of Vienna, SBA Research

#### **Belgium**

Prof. Dr. Rosamunde van Brakel	Vrije Universiteit Brussel	
Prof. Claudia Diaz	KU Leuven	
Prof. Dr. Gloria González Fuster	Vrije Universiteit Brussel	
Prof. Dr. Joris van Hoboken	University of Amsterdam and Vrije Universiteit Brussel	
Prof. Olivier Pereira	UCLouvain	
Prof. Thomas Peters	UCLouvain	
Prof. Bart Preneel	KU Leuven	Fellow IACR
Prof. François-Xavier Standaert	UCLouvain	
Prof. Florentin Rochet	University of Namur	
Prof. Nigel Smart	KU Leuven	Fellow IACR
Prof. Mathy Vanhoef	KU Leuven	
Prof. Ingrid Verbauwhede	KU Leuven	Fellow IACR, IEEE

#### **Brazil**

Prof. Ian Brown	Centre for Technology & Society, Fundação Getulio Vargas
Prof. Alexandre Augusto Giron	Federal University of Technology - Parana
Dr. Jean Martina	Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina
Prof. Dr. Marcos Antonio Simplicio Jr	Universidade de Sao Paulo

#### **Canada**

Prof. Ian Goldberg	University of Waterloo	
Prof. Florian Kerschbaum	University of Waterloo	
Prof. David Lie	University of Toronto	Canada Research Chair
Prof. Nicolas Papernot	University of Toronto and Vector Institute	Fellow Sloan

#### **Czechia**

Dr. Vit Bukac	Masaryk University
---------------	--------------------

Prof. Vashek Matyas  
Dr. Kamil Malinka  
Dr. Petr Svenda  
Dr. Martin Ukrop

Masaryk University  
Brno University of Technology  
Masaryk University  
Masaryk University

### Denmark

Prof. Diego F. Aranha  
Prof. Carsten Baum  
Prof. Joan Boyar  
Prof. Ivan Damgård  
Dr. Christian Majenz  
Prof. Claudio Orlandi  
Prof. Luisa Siniscalchi  
Prof. Tyge Tiessen  
Prof. Dr. Emmanouil Vasilomanolakis

Aarhus University  
Technical University of Denmark  
University of Southern Denmark  
Aarhus University Fellow IACR  
Technical University of Denmark  
Aarhus University  
Technical University Denmark  
Technical University Denmark  
Technical University Denmark

### Estonia

Dr. Dan Bogdanov

Personal capacity Estonian Academy of Sciences

### Finland

Prof. Kimmo Halunen

University of Oulu

### France

Dr. Daniele Antonioli  
Dr. Gustavo Banegas  
Mr. Karthikeyan Bhargavan  
Dr. Bruno Blanchet  
Prof. Olivier Blazy  
Prof. Christina Boura  
Dr. Anne Canteaut  
Dr. Veronique Cortier  
Dr. Jannik Dreier  
Prof. Antonio Faonio  
Dr. Caroline Fontaine  
Dr. Aurélien Francillon  
Dr. Aymeric Fromherz  
Dr. Pierrick Gaudry  
Prof. Elham Kashefi  
Dr. Steve Kremer  
Dr. Gaëtan Leurent  
Dr. Pierre Laperdrix  
Dr. P. G. Macioti  
Prof. Melek Önen  
Dr. Maria Naya Plasencia  
Dir. Research Catuscia Palamidessi  
Dr. Léo Perrin  
Dr. Yann Rote  
Dr. Emmanuel Thomé

EURECOM  
Independent Researcher  
Cryspen  
Inria  
École Polytechnique  
University of Versailles  
Inria  
CNRS  
Université de Lorraine  
EURECOM  
CNRS  
EURECOM  
Inria  
CNRS  
CNRS and University of Edimburgh  
Inria  
Inria  
CNRS  
Medicines du Monde  
EURECOM  
Inria  
Inria  
Inria  
Université Paris-Saclay  
Inria

### Germany

Dr. Ali Abassi  
Prof. Patricia Arias Cabarcos  
Dr. Gilles Barthe  
Dr. Sebastian Berndt  
Dr. Asia Biega  
Prof. Dr. Kevin Borgolte  
Dr. Sven Bugiel  
Dr. Rebekka Burkholz  
Prof. Dr. Cas Cremers

CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security  
Paderborn University  
Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy  
University of Lübeck  
Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy  
Ruhr University Bochum  
CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security  
CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security  
CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security

Prof. Thomas Eisenbarth	University of Lübeck
Prof. Thorsten Holz	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Prof. Tibor Jager	University of Wuppertal
Prof. Dr. Stefan Katzenbeisser	University of Passau
Dr. Dietmar Kammerer	Weizenbaum Institute for the Networked Society
Dr. Franziskus Kiefer	Cryspen
Dr. Katharina Krombholz	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Prof. Anja Lehmann	Hasso-Plattner-Institute, University of Potsdam
Dr. Wouter Lueks	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Dr. Christian Mainka	Ruhr University Bochum
Prof. Dr. Esfandiar Mohammadi	University of Lübeck
Dr. Veelasha Moonsamy	Ruhr University Bochum
Prof. Dr. Andreas Peter	University of Oldenburg
Dr. Giancarlo Pellegrino	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Prof. Joachim Posegga	University of Passau
Dr. Elissa Redmiles	Max Planck Institute for Software Systems
Dipl. Ir. Rainer Rehak	Weizenbaum Institute for the Networked Society
Prof. Konrad Rieck	Technische Universität Berlin
Prof. Paul Rösler	FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg
Prof. Dr. Christian Rossow	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Prof. Dr. Sebastian Schinzel	Münster University of Applied Sciences
Prof. Thomas Schneider	Technische Universität Darmstadt
Prof. Dr. Dominique Schröder	Friedrich-Alexander Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg
Dr. Peter Schwabe	Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy
Prof. Juraj Somorovsky	Paderborn University
Dr. Ben Stock	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Prof. Thorsten Strufe	KASTEL/Karlsruhe & Centre for Tactile Internet with Human-in-the-Loop, Dresden TU Berlin and HU Berlin
Prof. Florian Tschorsch	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Dr. Nils Ole Tippenhauer	Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
Prof. Christian Wressnegger	Ruhr University Bochum
Prof. Dr. Yuval Yarom	CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security
Dr. Xiao Zhang	Max Planck Institute for Security and Privacy
Dr. Yixin Zou	

#### **Greece**

Prof. Vasiliki Diamantopoulou	University of the Aegean
Prof. Christos Kalloniatis	University of the Aegean
Prof. Georgios Kambourakis	University of the Aegean
Prof. Costas Lambrinoudakis	University of Piraeus
Prof. Emmanouil Magkos	Ionian University
Prof. Stefanos Gritzalis	University of Piraeus and Hellenic Authority for Communication Security and Privacy

#### **Ireland**

Dr. TJ McIntyre	University College Dublin Sutherland School of Law & Digital Rights Ireland
Dr. Stephen Farrell	Trinity College Dublin

#### **Israel**

Prof. Orr Dunlekman	University of Haifa
Dr. Yossi Oren	Ben-Gurion University
Dr. Eyal Ronen	Tel Aviv University
Dr. Mahmood Sharif	Tel Aviv University

#### **Italy**

Prof. Stefano Calzavara	Università Ca' Foscari Venezia
Prof. Mauro Conti	University of Padua
Prof. Bruno Crispo	University of Trento
Prof. Paolo Falcarin	University of Venice



Prof. Fabio Massaci  
Prof. Giuseppe Persiano  
Prof. Daniele Venturi  
Prof. Stefano Zanero

University of Trento/Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
Università di Salerno  
Sapienza University of Rome  
Politecnico di Milano

### **Liechtenstein**

Prof. Giovanni Apruzzese

University of Liechtenstein

### **Luxembourg**

Prof. Dr. Gabriele Lenzini  
Prof. Peter Y A Ryan

University of Luxembourg  
University of Luxembourg

### **The Netherlands**

Dr. Gunes Acar  
Prof. Dr. Lejla Batina  
Prof. Dr. ir. Herbert Bos  
Dr. Corinne Cath  
Dr. Andrea Continella  
Prof. Joan Daemen  
Dr. Zekeriya Erkin  
Prof. Cristiano Giuffrida  
Dr. Seda Gürses  
Prof. Jaap-Henk Hoepman  
Prof. Andreas Hülsing  
Prof. Bart Jacobs  
Prof. Dr. Tanja Lange  
Prof. Ot van Daalen  
Prof. Michel van Eeten  
Dr. Heloise Vieira  
Prof. Ben Wagner

Radboud University Nijmegen  
Radboud University Nijmegen  
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
Delft University of Technology  
University of Twente  
Radboud University Nijmegen  
Delft University of Technology  
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
Delft University of Technology  
Radboud University Nijmegen  
Eindhoven University of Technology  
Radboud University Nijmegen  
Eindhoven University of Technology  
University of Amsterdam  
Delft University of Technology  
Eindhoven University of Technology  
Delft University of Technology

### **New Zealand**

Prof. Steven Galbraith

University of Auckland

### **Norway**

Prof. Helger Lipmaa

Simula UiB

### **Poland**

Prof. Stefan Dziembowski  
Dr. Anna Ratecka

University of Warsaw  
Jagiellonian University in Krakow

### **Portugal**

Ms. Sofia Celi  
Prof. Manuel Eduardo Correia  
Prof. Manuel Barbosa  
Prof. Hugo Pacheco  
Prof. Bernardo Portela  
Prof. Henrique Santos  
Prof. Nuno Santos

Brave  
University of Porto  
University of Porto and INESC TEC  
University of Porto  
University of Porto  
Universidade do Minho  
INESC-ID and University of Lisbon

### **Taiwan**

Dr. Lorenz Panny

Academia Sinica

### **Turkey**

Prof. Cihangir Tezcan

Middle East Technical University

### **United Arab Emirates**

Prof. Michail Maniatakos  
Prof. Chirstina Pöpper

New York University Abu Dhabi  
New York University Abu Dhabi

## United Kingdom

Dr. Ruba Abu-Salma  
Prof. Martin Albrecht  
Prof. Ross Anderson  
Prof. Reuben Binns  
Prof. Ioana Boureau  
Dr. Jaya Klara Brekke  
Prof. Lorenzo Cavallaro  
Dr. Michele Ciampi  
Prof. Liqun Chen  
Dr. Richard Clayton  
Prof. Angela Daly  
Dr. Partha Das Chowdhury  
Dr. Benjamin Dupling  
Dr. François Dupressoir  
Dr. Tariq Elahi  
Prof. Hamed Haddadi  
Prof. Julio Hernandez-Castro  
Dr. Alice Hutchings  
Dr. Dennis Jackson  
Dr. Rikke Jensen  
Prof. Adam Joinson  
Dr. Philipp Jovanovic  
Prof. Vasilis Katos  
Prof. Aggelos Kiayias  
Dr. Bernardo Magri  
Prof. Corinne May-Chahal  
Prof. Keith Martin  
Dr. Maryam Mehrnezhad  
Prof. Sarah Meiklejohn  
Prof. Steven Murdoch  
Prof. Douwe Korff  
Dr. Daniel Page  
Dr. Claudia Peersman  
Dr. Fabio Pierazzi  
Prof. Awais Rachid  
Dr. Luc Rocher  
Dr. Kaspar Rosager Ludvigsen  
Dr. Christos Sagredos  
Dr. Siamak Shahandashti  
Dr. Jose Tomas Llanos  
Dr. Michael Veale  
Dr. Niovi Vavoula  
Dr. Christian Weinert  
Prof. Alan Woodward

King's College London  
King's College London  
Universities of Cambridge and Edinburgh  
University of Oxford  
University of Surrey  
Nym Technologies  
University College London  
University of Edinburgh  
University of Surrey  
University of Cambridge  
University of Dundee  
University of Bristol  
University of Sheffield  
University of Bristol  
University of Edinburgh  
Imperial College London  
University of Kent  
University of Cambridge  
Mozilla  
Royal Holloway, University of London  
University of Bath  
University College London  
Bournemouth University  
University of Edinburgh  
University of Manchester  
University of Lancaster  
Royal Holloway, University of London  
Royal Holloway, University of London  
University College London  
University College London  
London Metropolitan University  
University of Bristol  
University of Bristol  
King's College London  
University of Bristol  
University of Oxford  
University of Edinburgh  
King's College London  
University of York  
University College London  
University College London  
Queen Mary University of London  
Royal Holloway, University of London  
University of Surrey

## United States of America

Prof. Giuseppe Ateniese  
Prof. Adam J. Aviv  
Prof. Steven Bellovin  
Prof. Matt Blaze  
Prof. Álvaro Cárdenas  
Prof. Chandrasekaran  
Prof. Nicolas Christin  
Mr. Roger Dingledine  
Prof. Zakir Durumeric  
Dr. Kelsey Fulton  
Dr. Simson L. Garfinkel  
Prof. Christina Garman  
Prof. Matthew D. Green

George Mason University  
George Washington University  
Columbia University  
Georgetown University  
McDevitt Chair of CS and Law  
University of California, Santa Cruz  
University Illinois Urbana-Champaign  
Carnegie Mellon University  
The Tor Project  
Stanford University  
Colorado School of Mines  
Digital Corpora Project  
Purdue University  
Johns Hopkins University  
Fellow AAAS, ACM, IEEE

Prof. Daniel Genkin	Georgia Tech	
Prof. Paul Grubbs	University of Michigan	
Dr. Joseph Lorenzo Hall	Internet Society	
Dr. Britta Hale	Independent researcher	
Prof. Emeritus Martin Hellman	Stanford University	Turing Award
Prof. Nadia Heninger	University of California, San Diego	
Prof. Nicholas Hopper	University of Minnesota	
Prof. Gabriel Kaptchuk	Boston University	
Prof. Vasileios Kemerlis	Brown University	
Dr. Jennifer King	Stanford University	
Prof. Engin Kirda	Northeastern University	
Prof. Susan Landau	Tufts University	Fellow AAAS, ACM
Prof. Anna Lysyanskaya	Brown University	
Prof. Abigail Marsh	Macalester College	
Prof. Michelle Mazurek	University of Maryland	
Prof. Ian Miers	University of Maryland	
Prof. Prateek Mittal	Princeton University	
Ms. Riana Pfefferkorn	Stanford University	
Prof. Michalis Polychronakis	Stony Brook University	
Dr. Niels Provos	Independent researcher	
Prof. Aanjhan Ranganathan	Northeastern University	
Prof. Amir Rahmati	Stony Brook University	
Prof. Franziska Roesner	University of Washington	
Prof. Ronald L. Rivest	MIT	Turing Award
Dr. Sarah Scheffler	Princeton University	
Prof. Barbara van Schewick	Stanford University	
Prof. Bruce Schneier	Harvard Kennedy School	
Prof. Adam Shostack	University of Washington	
Nick Sullivan	Independent	
Dr. Santiago Torres-Arias	Purdue University	
Prof. Daniel Votipka	Tufts University	
Prof. David Wagner	UC Berkeley	
Prof. Daniel J. Weitzner	MIT	
Dr. Lian Wang	Princeton University	
Prof. Christo Wilson	Northeastern University	Sloan Fellow
Prof. Matthew Wright	Rochester Institute of Technology	

### Singapore

Prof. Thomas Peyrin	Nanyang Technological University
---------------------	----------------------------------

### South Korea

Prof. Sang Kil Cha	KAIST
--------------------	-------

### Spain

Dr. Jorge Blasco Alis	Universidad Politécnica de Madrid	
Prof. Pino Caballero-Gil	University of La Laguna	
Dr. Ignacio Cascudo	IMDEA Software Institute	
Prof. Josep Domingo-Ferrer	Universitat Rovira i Virgili	Fellow IEEE
Dr. Dario Fiore	IMDEA Software Institute	
Dr. Gemma Galdon Clavell	Eticas Tech	
Prof. Maribel González Vasco	Universidad Carlos III de Madrid	
Dr. Marco Guarnieri	IMDEA Software Institute	
Dr. Jordi Herrera-Joancomartí	Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona	
Prof. Llorenç Huguet	Balearic Island University	
Dr. Guillermo Navarro-Arribas	Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona	
Prof. Fernando Pérez-González	University of Vigo	Fellow IEEE
Dr. Cristina Perez-Sola	Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona	
Prof. Jose Such	Universitat Politècnica de Valencia	
Dr. Carla Ràfols	Universitat Pompeu Fabra	
Prof. Josep Rifà	Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona	

Prof. Juan Tapiador  
Dr. Narseo Vallina-Rodriguez

Universidad Carlos III de Madrid  
IMDEA Networks Institute

**Sweden**

Prof. Simone Fischer-Hübner  
Prof. Dr.-Ing.Meiko Jensen  
Prof. Panos Papadimitratos  
Dr. Tobias Pulls  
Prof. Vicenç Torra

Karlstad University & Chalmers University of Technology  
Karlstad University  
KTH Royal Institute of Technology      Fellow IEEE  
Karlstad University  
Umeå University      Fellow IEEE

**Switzerland**

Prof. Srdjan Capkun  
Prof. Bryan Ford  
Dr. Julia Hesse  
Prof. Kenneth Paterson  
Prof. Mathias Payer  
Dr. Apostolos Pyrgelis  
Dr. Raphael M. Reischuk  
Prof. Shweta Shinde  
Prof. Carmela Troncoso

ETH Zurich      Fellow IEEE  
EPFL  
IBM Zurich  
ETH Zurich      Fellow IACR  
EPFL  
EPFL  
National Test Institute for Cybersecurity NTC  
ETH Zurich  
EPFL